### Data Management Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Contractor</th>
<th>University of Wyoming</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of project</td>
<td>Developing an Effective Shoulder and Centerline Rumble Strips/Stripes policy to accommodate All Roadway Users</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Project Duration           | Start date: December 2013  
End: April 2015 |
| DMP Version                |                       |
| Date Amended, if any       |                       |
| Name of all authors, and ORCID number for each author | Mohamed M. Ahmed, Ph.D., P.E. - orcid.org/0000-0002-1921-0724  
Khaled Ksaibati, Ph.D., P.E. - orcid.org/0000-0002-9241-1792  
Mirza A. Sharif |
| WYDOT Project Number       | RS02(214) |
| Any Digital Object Identifier (DOI), including any CROSSREF number, which has been assigned to any peer reviewed publication or data generated by this project | |
| Name of all peer reviewed publications which have been generated using data from this project | 1. **Mohamed Ahmed**, Mirza Sharif, Khaled Ksaibati, Christopher Planche, “Accommodation of Bicyclists and Nearby Residents in Rumble Strips/Stripes Policies”. Proceedings of the 95th *Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting* 2016.  


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>URLs for all peer reviewed publications which have been generated using data from this project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://docs.trb.org/prp/16-0577.pdf">http://docs.trb.org/prp/16-0577.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://amonline.trb.org/trb60693-2016-1.2807374/I001-1.2823436/655-1.2823479/16-0601-1.2980183/16-0601-1.2992386#tab_0=0">http://amonline.trb.org/trb60693-2016-1.2807374/I001-1.2823436/655-1.2823479/16-0601-1.2980183/16-0601-1.2992386#tab_0=0</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://static1.squarespace.com/static/51cc8d46e4b0b242fc8d0f33/t/55c4f2a3e4b04e60a55f28c5/1438970531090/12.+Developing+Effective+Shoulder+and+Centerline+Rumble+Stripes+Policy+to+Accommodate+All+Road.pdf">http://static1.squarespace.com/static/51cc8d46e4b0b242fc8d0f33/t/55c4f2a3e4b04e60a55f28c5/1438970531090/12.+Developing+Effective+Shoulder+and+Centerline+Rumble+Stripes+Policy+to+Accommodate+All+Road.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIP RH Display ID Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset URL, if available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What constitutes such data will be determined by the Principle Investigator, Project Champion, and the Research Manager. In general, your plan should address final research data. This includes recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as necessary to validate research findings. Final research data do not include laboratory notebooks, partial datasets, preliminary analyses, drafts of scientific papers, plans for future research, peer review reports, communications with colleagues, or physical objects, such as gels or laboratory
specimens. As part of your research, you may also generate unique data, which are data that cannot be readily replicated. Your DMP should also address unique data that may arise from your research.

WYDOT expects the timely release and sharing of data to be no later than the acceptance for publication of the main findings from the final dataset, unless the Principle Investigator will be embargoeing the data. In such a case, the data cannot be embargoed for a period longer than twelve (12) months.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this research project is to:

The Wyoming Strategic Highway Safety Plan recognized the importance of rumble strips in improving safety on roadways and therefore recommended continued implementation of rumble strips/stripes. However, rumble strips may pose some concerns to residents, bicyclists and motorcyclists that may become more serious with the increased implementation of rumble strips. The objective of this study is to develop recommendations, guidelines, and policies for the implementation of rumble strips/stripes that ensures that there is a significant reduction of negative impact to road users even with increased usage of rumble strips by WYDOT. Road users’ responses to rumble strips will be examined through questionnaire surveys. This was achieved through a self-reported (stated preference) surveys which will be conducted to examine residents, bicyclists, and motorcyclists responses and/or experiences with rumble strips.

2. Definitions

a. Code or scripts include code used in the collection, manipulation, processing, analysis or visualization of data, but may also include software developed for other purposes.
b. Copyright is a set of legal rights extended to copyright owners that govern such activities as reproducing, distributing, adapting, or exhibiting original works fixed in tangible forms.
c. Data means the recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as necessary to validate research findings, but not any of the following: preliminary analyses, drafts of scientific papers, plans for future research, peer reviews, communications with colleagues. Recorded material excludes physical objects (e.g. laboratory samples). Research data also does not include trade secrets, commercial information, materials necessary to be held confidential; and personnel and medical information and similar information the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.
d. Data Archive is a site where machine readable materials are stored, preserved or
possibly redistributed to individuals interested in the materials.
e. Data Management Plan is a document that specifies your plans for managing your data and files for a research project.
f. Dataset means collection of data.
g. Metadata refers to structured data about data which helps define administrative, technical, or structural characteristics of the digital content.

3. Data Types and Storage
The types of data and/or datasets generated and/or used in this project include …

| The study surveyed opinions from only adults (18 and older) who ride bikes and motorcycles on roadways with rumble strips, and residents live nearby rumble strips. Biking communities, and motorcycle clubs were contacted via email. The email list were collected either from the individual or from their clubs with the individual consents (by signing up only). Residents’ addresses live nearby rumble strips were identified and mailed a link to the online survey, some residents were reached via schools and churches. The criteria of selection based solely on the users’ experience with riding on or living nearby roadways with rumble strips. Subjects participated by filling in online surveys about their stated preference related to rumble strips. No identifiable personal information were collected. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Wyoming approved the data collection methodology, and data management and storage plan. The data have no long-term value. The data are stored online using a secure survey tool (Survey Monkey). The data were processed and final conclusions were made using charts, figures, and table. The data will be stored online for 3 years after project end date on April 30, 2015. |

Provide a description of the data that you will be gathering in the course of your project. You should address the nature, scope, and scale of the data that will be collected. Describe the characteristics of the data, their relationship to other data, and provide sufficient detail so that reviewers will understand any disclosure risks that may apply. Discuss value of the data over the long-term. Please provide the name of all repositories where the data will be housed during the lifetime of the project.

Checklist
o What type of data will be produced?
o How will data be collected? In what formats?
o How will the data collection be documented?
o Will it be reproducible? What would happen if it got lost or became unusable later?
o How much data will it be, and at what growth rate? How often will it change?
o Are there tools or software needed to create/process/visualize the data?
o Will you use pre-existing data? From where?
Storage and backup strategy?

3. Data Organization, Documentation and Metadata

The plan for organizing, documenting, and using descriptive metadata to assure quality control and reproducibility of these data include …

The survey data are not reproducible. The raw data (subjects’ answers) are stored online securely on Survey Monkey. The final metadata are documented in the final report.

Your DMP should describe the anticipated formats that your data and related files will use. To the maximum extent practicable, and in accordance with generally accepted practices in your field, your DMP should address how you will use platform-independent and non-proprietary formats to ensure maximum utility of the data in the future. If you are unable to use platform-independent and non-proprietary formats, you should specify the standards and formats that will be used and the rationale for using those standards and formats.

NOTE: Attach the Metadata transmittal form or URL for data generated or peer reviewed publications from this project.

Checklist
- What standards will be used for documentation and metadata?
- Is there good project and data documentation format/standard?
- What directory and file naming convention will be used?
- What project and data identifiers will be assigned?
- Is there a community standard for metadata sharing/integration?

4. Data and/or Database Access and Intellectual Property

What access and ownership concerns are there…

All Survey Monkey data are housed at a secured website that only accessible by the co-PIs and graduate students working on this project. Other reduced data are stored at UW secured computers, UW implement a rigorous security measures. No identifiable personal information were collected. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Wyoming approved the data collection methodology, and data management and storage plan.

Protecting research participants and guarding against the disclosure of identities and/or confidential business information is an essential norm in scientific research. Your DMP should
address these issues and outline the efforts you will take to provide informed consent statements to participants, the steps you will take the protect privacy and confidentiality prior to archiving your data, and any additional concerns. If necessary, describe any division of responsibilities for stewarding and protecting the data among Principal Investigators.

If you will not be able to deidentify the data in a manner that protects privacy and confidentiality while maintaining the utility of the dataset, you should describe the necessary restrictions on access and use. In general, in matters of human subject research, your DMP should describe how your informed consent forms will permit sharing with the research community and whether additional steps, such as an Institutional Review Board (IRB), may be used to protect privacy and confidentiality.

Checklist

- What steps will be taken to protect privacy, security, confidentiality, intellectual property or other rights?
- Does your data have any access concerns? Describe the process someone would take to access your data.
- Who controls it (e.g., PI, student, lab, University, funder)?
- Any special privacy or security requirements (e.g., personal data, high-security data)?
- Any embargo periods to uphold?

5. Data Sharing and Reuse

The data will be released for sharing in the following way ...

| University of Wyoming will hold the intellectual property rights for the data. PI and graduate students, from the civil engineering department, whom are responsible for the study are currently using the different datasets. Data will be used under supervision of the PI. Data will not be accessed or used by other parties rather than university of Wyoming. |

Describe who will hold the intellectual property rights for the data created by your project. Describe whether you will transfer those rights to a data archive, if appropriate. Identify whether any copyrights apply to the data, as might be the case when using copyrighted instruments. If you will be enforcing terms of use or a requirement for data citation through a license, indicate as much in your DMP. Describe any other legal requirements that might need to be addressed.

Checklist

- If you allow others to reuse your data, how will the data be discovered and shared?
- Any sharing requirements (e.g., funder data sharing policy)?
- Audience for reuse? Who will use it now? Who will use it later?
- When will I publish it and where?
6. Data Preservation and Archiving

The data will be preserved and archived in the following ways …

Survey data will be retained for 3 years after the end date of the project. The original data will be maintained on the Survey Monkey website. The data is in HTML format, data will be deleted by the PI after the 3 years period.

Describe how you intend to archive your data and why you have chosen that particular option. You may select from a variety of options including, but not limited to:

- Use of an institutional repository
- Use of an archive or other community-accepted data storage facility
- Self-dissemination

You must describe the dataset that is being archived with a minimum amount of metadata that ensures its discoverability. Whatever archive option you choose, that archive must support the capture and provision of the US Federal Government "Common Core" metadata. In addition, the archive you choose must support the creation and maintenance of persistent identifiers and must provide for maintenance of those identifiers throughout the preservation lifecycle of the data. Your plan should address how your archiving and preservation choices meet these requirements.

Checklist

- How will the data be archived for preservation and long-term access?
- How long should it be retained (e.g., 3-5 years, 10-20 years, permanently)?
- What file formats? Are they long-lived?
- Are there data archives that my data is appropriate for (subject-based? Or institutional)?
- Who will maintain my data for the long-term?

NOTE:

Researchers evaluating data repositories as the option(s) for storing and preserving their data should examine evidence demonstrating that the repository:

a. Promotes an explicit mission of digital data archiving;

b. Ensures compliance with legal regulations, and maintains all applicable licenses covering data access and use, including, if applicable, mechanisms to protect privacy rights and maintain the confidentiality of respondents;

c. Has a documented plan for long-term preservation of its holdings;

d. Applies documented processes and procedures in managing data storage;
e. Performs archiving according to explicit work flows across the data life cycle;
f. Enables the users to discover and use the data, and refer to them in a persistent way through proper citation;
g. Enables reuse of data, ensuring appropriate formats and application of metadata;
h. Ensures the integrity and authenticity of the data;
i. Is adequately funded and staffed, and has a system of governance in place to support its mission; and
j. Possesses a technical infrastructure that explicitly supports the tasks and functions described in internationally accepted archival standards like Open Archival Information System (OAIS).

**These guidelines are based on the Data Seal of Approval."
METADATA TRANSMITTAL FORM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title¹</th>
<th>Human-readable name of the asset. Should be in plain English and include sufficient detail to facilitate search and discovery.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Creator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Date(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Human-readable description (e.g., an abstract) with sufficient detail to enable a user to quickly understand whether the asset is of interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keywords</td>
<td>Tags (or keywords) help users discover your dataset; please include terms that would be used by technical and non-technical users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifier²</td>
<td>A unique identifier for the dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edition</td>
<td>Most recent date on which the dataset was changed, updated or modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographic Coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>The language of the dataset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>The publishing entity and optionally their parent organization(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Point</td>
<td>Contact person's name and email for the asset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Restrictions</td>
<td>The degree to which this dataset <strong>could</strong> be made publicly-available, regardless of whether it has been made available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ To include alternate title; conference title; and journal title if they are different.
² To include record numbers; report numbers; NTIS number; TRIS Accession Number; OCLC Number; ISBN; ISSN; contract number; and DOI if available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Choices: public (Data asset is or could be made publicly available to all without restrictions), restricted public (Data asset is available under certain use restrictions), or non-public (Data asset is not available to members of the public).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual Property and Other Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related Documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>